

BUILDING RESILIENT SYSTEMS TOWARDS CERVICAL CANCER ELIMINATION

A 3-Part Webinar Series

www.igcs.org/access

IGCS  INTERNATIONAL
GYNECOLOGIC
CANCER SOCIETY

Webinar 2 Key Take Home Messages

[View the recording here: https://youtu.be/HfUfj3vF1AI?si=F89AiVMhSp7lwGMY](https://youtu.be/HfUfj3vF1AI?si=F89AiVMhSp7lwGMY)

FACULTY - HPV SCREENING & LINKAGE TO CARE



Marion Saville

Professor, anatomic pathologist and Executive Director at the Australian Centre for the Prevention of Cervical Cancer



Isabel Scarinci

Behavioral scientist and Senior Advisor for Global Cancer at The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Heersink School of Medicine and the O'Neal Cancer Center



Gina Ogilvie

Research Chair in Global Control of HPV related diseases and prevention. Senior Public Health Scientist at BC Centre for Disease Control and Senior Research Advisor at the BC Women's Hospital and Health Centre



Jane Montealegre

Ass. Professor, Department of Behavioral Science, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston and adjunct Ass. Professor, Department of Pediatrics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas

Welcome & Webinar 1 Recap

Heather Brandt, Director of the HPV Cancer Prevention Program at St. Jude Children's Research Hospital

- Key themes of first webinar include the importance of acting across all three pillars simultaneously with equity shaping all efforts. Country-level progress updates, demonstrating elimination is imminently achievable. Australia - 2028 to 2035, Canada - 2040, and the U.S. modeling shows elimination could be expedited by at least a decade through improved with screening and treatment. Tamika Felder of Cervivor, shared the mantra of the session *"Every Cervix Matters"*.
- Dr. Kathleen Schmeler, MD Anderson Cancer Center) shared her response to the last question of the session: If we fast forward 10 years, what one treatment system change will have made the greatest difference in achieving elimination. *"The single most important treatment system change will be ensuring that more women with abnormal screening results return for timely follow-up and receive high-quality diagnosis and treatment of pre-invasive disease. Closing this follow-up gap will prevent progression to invasive cervical cancer and be one of the most powerful drivers of elimination"*.

Moderator, Julie Torode

Institute of Cancer Policy, King's College London / IGCS ACCESS project facilitator

- The session is what we know works on shaping high performance HPV screening programs, with the all-critical link to treatment and care.

Translating global screening strategies into effective, population-level delivery is essential:

- ◆ Screening & treatment of HPV-positive cases is the main bottleneck to reducing cervical cancer incidence globally.
- ◆ Key priorities include:
- ◆ Transitioning from cytology (Pap smears) to HPV-based screening.
- ◆ Managing workforce and stakeholder resistance to this change.
- ◆ Expanding community-based services through outreach, mobile clinics, and self-collection.
- ◆ Strengthening data systems for monitoring, accountability, and equity.

Australia's experience - <https://acpcc.org.au/our-impact/elimination-strategy/strategy/>

- Australia introduced an organized cervical screening program in 1991, supported by: national screening registries, strong laboratory accreditation, high screening coverage and follow-up.
- Cytology-based screening significantly reduced cervical cancer incidence but eventually reached its maximum impact. HPV screening was projected to improve health outcomes while reducing costs.
- Transition to five-yearly HPV screening (ages 25–74) with partial HPV genotyping and direct referral to colposcopy for higher-risk HPV cases.
- The transition required years of policy advocacy, modelling, and communication to address concerns about shifting to longer screening intervals, later starting and perceptions that changing a successful program was unnecessary.

Self-collection is a major innovation

- Evidence confirms HPV self-collection is as accurate as clinician-collected samples with PCR-based assays. Sample stability enables mail-based testing for remote communities.
- Australia expanded self-collection to all eligible participants in 2022 which: removes many cultural and practical barriers; preserves healthcare workforce capacity; improves access and equity; enables more flexible service delivery.
- Uptake has been highest among previously unscreened and under-screened populations. More than 50% of cervical screening samples in Australia are now self-collected.

Equity as a central focus

- Australia launched its National Strategy for the Elimination of Cervical Cancer in 2023. Priority population representatives were included in governance and decision-making from the outset.
- Equity is embedded throughout the strategy, with five priority populations identified through consultation.
- Despite overall progress, major disparities remain - indigenous Australians experience approximately twice the incidence and nearly four times the mortality; higher disease burden exists in lower socioeconomic and remote populations.

Importance of data systems

- National screening registries are fundamental to program success: tracking each participant's screening journey; supporting active follow-up through reminders and care coordination; monitoring coverage, follow-up, equity, and program performance.
- Australia achieves follow-up rates exceeding 80% within 12 months through registry-supported systems and ongoing efforts focus on improving data quality for priority populations.

Malaysia's ROSE program - <https://programrose.org/>

- Demonstrates that strong registries support high follow-up (88%) in middle-income settings. Key global lessons include:
 - Policy commitments must be matched by delivery innovation and sustainable financing.
 - Community-centered, equity-focused models essential to reach never- and under-screened.
 - Screening programs must encompass screening, follow-up, and treatment to achieve meaningful reductions in cervical cancer.

- ♦ Canada is transitioning from Pap screening to HPV primary screening, with a goal of 90% HPV screening coverage, exceeding the WHO target.
- ♦ Cervical cancer remains a preventable cause of death in Canada, with the highest burden among:
- ♦ People who have never been screened or are overdue - indigenous communities, visible minorities and rural populations.

Why move from Pap to HPV screening?

- HPV testing is substantially more sensitive than cytology (compensated for by frequent Pap testing).
- HPV detects ~96% of precancerous lesions compared with ~53% for cytology.
- HPV-negative results provide much stronger reassurance, supporting longer screening intervals.
- HPV testing detects precancer earlier with fewer lesions detected in later screening rounds.
- Cytology misses significantly more precancerous lesions than HPV testing.
- Overall, HPV-based screening provides more accurate, equitable, and sustainable approach.

Evidence supporting HPV screening

- Nearly two decades of research, including multiple international trials.
- The HPV FOCAL Trial in British Columbia showed:
 - HPV screening every four years outperformed cytology every two years.
 - Lower rates of CIN2/3 (precancer) after HPV screening.
 - Much lower long-term risk following a negative HPV test.
 - Sustained protection extending up to 8–10 years after a negative HPV result.
 - HPV testing proved both clinically effective and cost-effective.

Self-collection

- Self-collected HPV samples have strong agreement with clinician-collected samples because HPV DNA can be detected without directly sampling the cervix.
- British Columbia's program is designed around meeting people where they are.
- Offering multiple options: home-mailed kits; clinic pickup; self-collection in clinics; clinician-collected samples for those who prefer them.
- Self-collection has been shown to be highly acceptable across diverse populations.

Implementation lessons

- HPV screening initially increases colposcopy referrals (more existing precancer detected).
- With appropriate planning, this initial increase is temporary:
 - Colposcopy demand falls significantly after the first screening round.
 - Long-term colposcopy rates become lower than with cytology-based screening.
- Phased implementation can help health systems manage temporary increases in diagnostic services.

British Columbia program

- Since 2024, HPV screening has been offered to everyone aged 25–69 with a cervix, including women, Two-Spirit, transgender, and gender-diverse people.
- Participants can choose between self-collection and clinician collection.
- Early implementation has shown increased screening participation and strong self-collection uptake.

Future opportunities

- HPV screening and HPV vaccination may allow longer screening intervals, earlier exit from screening for consistently low-risk individuals and reduced demand on healthcare providers (shift from provider led Pap to self-collected samples).

Texas elimination planning – key recommendation:

- ◆ Expanding equitable HPV screening—particularly through safety-net health systems and self-collection—is essential to reducing disparities and achieving cervical cancer elimination in Texas and the United States.
- The U.S. healthcare system is fragmented, with significant variation across states and no universal healthcare, creating challenges for equitable cervical cancer screening.
- Data show 13,000 cervical cancer cases and 4,000 deaths annually, largely stagnating after reaching the limits of cytology-based screening.
- Populations are experiencing increasing cervical cancer incidence/mortality: low-income counties, rural counties and areas with persistently low screening rates.
- Screening participation has declined over the past 20 years, with the lowest uptake among: uninsured individuals, publicly insured populations, people of color and rural communities.
- Texas' cervical cancer elimination strategy is prioritizing HPV self-collection and equity-focused, aiming to improve screening access for populations with the greatest burden of disease. 75% of Texas counties are medically underserved, including both rural areas and disadvantaged urban communities.
- Strategy is strengthening safety-net health systems: community health centers, county health systems, rural health clinics, public health/charitable clinics.
- Safety-net providers serve populations at highest risk for cervical cancer.
- Current coverage in community health centers is only ~55%, well below the national average (~80%).
- Modelling suggests screening rates in safety-net settings at 90% coverage could substantially reduce or eliminate disparities and accelerate elimination by a decade.
- Implementation is based on community partnerships and co-design, using participatory approaches to develop locally appropriate screening programs.
- Integrate: self-collection into primary care workflows; strong referral pathways for colposcopy; expanded treatment capacity and culturally appropriate patient navigation to improve follow-up.
- Scaling through peer-learning networks; community health center partnerships, state primary care associations and support for federally qualified health centers and other safety-net providers.

Isabel Sacarini – <https://operationwipeout.org/>

Operation Wipeout - key recommendations:

Start before everything is perfect, programs can evolve through continuous learning.

Engage diverse partners who understand local communities.

Ensure treatment pathways are in place before expanding screening.

A statewide strategy provides direction, but success depends on empowered local champions implementing change within their communities.

- Alabama's statewide cervical cancer elimination initiative is built on a bottom-up, community-led approach that asks stakeholders what should be done and invites them to co-design.
- Enjoys government support (State Health Officer) which has been critical for sustainability.
- Harnesses key principles: shared ownership; evidence-based recommendations; unified statewide mission; open-access resources.
- Recognizes tools to eliminate cervical cancer already exist, takes on challenge of boosting uptake.
- Before expanding screening, secured commitments from cancer centers to ensure women diagnosed through screening could access treatment (especially LEETZ).
- Community engagement with an "action without fundraising" model where partners (now more than 40) contribute their own resources under a shared enterprise.
- Rotary provides community leadership and experience from global disease eradication of polio and brings connectivity, trust and mobilization power in communities alongside faith-based organizations.
- Community members often unaware cervical cancer is preventable. Non-health community leaders are especially successful in raising awareness. Community champions are trained and equipped.
- Healthcare providers focus on improving access: promoting funding available for screening of uninsured women, adherence to guidelines and countering resistance to HPV screening.
- Travelling nurse practitioners provide colposcopy in underserved improving follow-up care.

Register for Webinar 3 - 15th July 2026, 1PM Eastern Time (US and Canada)

<https://us06web.zoom.us/meeting/register/d22KLZahSF6pTKvRYkiNwg>

